SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, AUGUST 7, 2006 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S012944

PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (RICHARD)

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed.

Opinion by Moreno, J.

---- joined by George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin and Corrigan, JJ.

S120238 H023584 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MODIRI (SHEA M.)

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed insofar as it vacated section 1192.7(c)(8) finding that defendant personally inflicted great bodily injury in the commission of felony assault. opinion by Baxter,J.

-----joined by Geroge, C.J., Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, Corrigan, JJ concurring opinion by Werdergar, J. dissenting opinion by Kennard, J.

S132619 B159255 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

REGENCY OUTDOOR ADVERTISING v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed.

Opinion by Moreno, J.

----joined by George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin & Corrigan, JJ.

S129476 B176918 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **SMITH v. S.C. (LOREAL, USA)** Finality of the opinion in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to and including September 8, 2006.

S137770

GREEN v. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

The application of Employers Group et al., for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of defendant and appellant is hereby granted. An answer thereto may be served and filed by any party within twenty days of the filing of the brief.

S138130

GARY ROSS v. RANGWIRE TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

The application of the American Pain Foundation, American Medical Women's Association, Lymphoma Foundation of America, American Nurses' Association, AIDS Action Council, National Women's Health Network, Doctors of the World-USA, Gay Men's Health Crisis for permission to file an amicus curiae brief In support of appellant is hereby granted. An answer thereto may be served and filed by any party within twenty days of the filing of the brief.

S138130

GARY ROSS v. RANGWIRE TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

The application of the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority for permission to file an amicus curiae Brief in support of respondent is hereby granted. An answer thereto may be served and filed by any party within twenty days of the filing of the brief.

TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

The application of Protection and Advocacy, Inc. and Equal Rights Advocates for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of appellant is hereby granted. An answer thereto may be served and filed by any party within twenty days of the filing of the brief.

S138130

GARY ROSS v. RANGWIRE TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

The application of Pacific Legal Foundation for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondent is hereby granted. An answer thereto may be served and filed by any party within twenty days of the filing of the brief.

S138130

GARY ROSS v. RANGWIRE TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

The application of various Current or Former Legislators for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of appellant is hereby granted. An answer thereto may be served and filed by any party within twenty days of the filing of the brief.

S080477

PEOPLE v. BANKS (KELVYN R.)

Extension of time granted to September 29, 2006, to file appellant's opening brief.

S083899

PEOPLE v. BOOKER (RICHARD

L.)

Extension of time granted to October 6, 2006, to file appellant's opening brief.

S139285

GROSSET v. WENAAS (ERIC P.) SIK-LIN HUANG

Extension of time granted to August 11, 2006, for intervener and appellant to file the reply brief on the merits.

S139791

PEOPLE v. CROSS (GARY W.)

Respondent's time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to and including August 26, 2006.

S143615

PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (FERNANDO L.)

Counsel appointment order filed. Mark Lenenberg is hereby appointed to represent appellant. Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before 30 days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

S120980

MONTERROSO (CHRISTIAN) ON

Pursuant to petitioner's request filed on August 3, 2006, petitioner's exhibits, nos. 141 and 149, are ordered withdrawn.

S145588

MORALES v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to CA 6 for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

B191904 MEY LI v. LIEN HUYNH

The above entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred From Division Three to Division Seven.